

Some Reasons Why I Do Not Accept the Work of Fernand Crombette

1 The method of Crombette (C) in discovering new meanings for Biblical texts written in Hebrew appears questionable. A distinguished French linguist, Dominique Vlaminck, wrote (in French) a lengthy essay several years ago on C's method and ended up characterizing it as 'absurd'.

2 The invalidity of C's method is corroborated by results he obtained in using it. C's new version of *Genesis* is in contradiction to some of the Church's infallible teachings.

3 C purported that his version was the original inspired text of *Genesis* as produced by Moses. If so, that would be to say that not only was the Church deprived of the true version of *Genesis* during its first 19 centuries, but Our Lord & the Apostles also did not know or have access to the authentic version.

4 Some suggest it is for the Church to tell us if C's *Genesis* version is true (inspired). But in as much as C's version contradicts some of the official teachings of the Church, C has already been overruled.

5 It is mentioned in *Crombette et le Crombettisme* that C had developed a more than usual appetite for private apparitions & revelations.

6 It is not unreasonable to suggest that the great dexterity with which C manipulated his method for reading Hebrew texts & the sheer volume/complexity of what he was able to produce in his retirement years could be indicative of preternatural help. Given his heresies, the source of such help could only have been diabolical.

7 While C believed Earth is not orbiting Sun (not a planet), he did believe Earth is daily rotating. The only purported empirical proof (the Foucault Pendulum) for a rotating Earth has been scientifically proven to prove only that there is an invisible inertial field of unproven source that impacts on everything. The source could be the result of a revolving universe about an immobile Earth, or the result of a rotating Earth, or both.
